Characterization of the effects of methylmercury on Caenorhabditis elegans
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ABSTRACT

The rising prevalence of methylmercury (MeHg) in seafood and in the global environment provides an impetus for delineating the mechanism of the toxicity of MeHg. Deleterious effects of MeHg have been widely observed in humans and in other mammals, the most striking of which occur in the nervous system. Here we test the model organism, Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans), for MeHg toxicity. The simple, well-defined anatomy of the C. elegans nervous system and its ready visualization with green fluorescent protein (GFP) markers facilitated our study of the effects of methylmercuric chloride (MeHgCl) on neural development. Although MeHgCl was lethal to C. elegans, induced a developmental delay, and decreased pharyngeal pumping, other traits including lifespan, brood size, swimming rate, and nervous system morphology were not obviously perturbed in animals that survived MeHgCl exposure. Despite the limited effects of MeHgCl on C. elegans development and behavior, intracellular mercury (Hg) concentrations (≤3 ng Hg/mg protein) in MeHgCl-treated nematodes approached levels that are highly toxic to mammals. If MeHgCl reaches these concentrations throughout the animal, this finding indicates that C. elegans cells, particularly neurons, may be less sensitive to MeHgCl toxicity than mammalian cells. We propose, therefore, that C. elegans should be a useful model for discovering intrinsic mechanisms that confer resistance to MeHgCl exposure.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Mercury (Hg) is a toxicant to which humans are exposed regularly. Major routes of Hg exposure to humans include inhalation of Hg vapor released from amalgam dental fillings and consumption of seafood containing methylmercury (MeHg) (Clarkson, 2002; Clarkson and Magos, 2006). Thimerosal, which contains ethylmercury (EtHg), is used as a preservative in some vaccines and, although some limited evidence indicates that there may be a link between thimerosal and autism (Geier and Geier, 2006), this link has been largely discredited (Parker et al., 2004; Thompson et al., 2007). The presence of MeHg in seafood is caused by global cycling and bioaccumulation of the toxicant (Fitzgerald and Clarkson, 1991; Mason et al., 2005). MeHg is of particular concern due to its ability to pass through the blood-brain and placental barriers where it molecularly mimics methionine and enters cells via the large amino acid transporter, LAT1 (Kerper et al., 1992; Simmons-Willis et al., 2002; Yin et al., 2008), allowing MeHg to accumulate in both the brain and the fetus. MeHg has varying effects on the nervous system based on age at exposure. Adults exposed to MeHg experience focal lesions, such as loss of cerebellar granular cells and occipital lobe damage (Clarkson and Magos, 2006), whereas younger individuals experience global alterations to the brain, including microcephaly and inhibition of neuronal migration, leading to distortion of cortical layers, cerebellar abnormalities, alterations in glial cells, and alterations in neurotransmitter systems (Clarkson, 2002; Clarkson and Magos, 2006; Roh et al., 2006). Although MeHg possesses high affinity for cysteine, allowing it to bind thiol groups, the specific molecular targets of MeHg are largely unknown (Kerper et al., 1992; Simmons-Willis et al., 2002).

Despite many years of investigation, numerous questions surround the mechanisms of MeHg toxicity in mammals. Investigators have taken various approaches to study MeHg toxicity using many model systems including rat, mouse, zebrafish, and cell culture. We have adopted an alternative approach of using the model organism, Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans), to study MeHg toxicity. C. elegans has been used extensively in biological research and provides many advantages, including its small size, rapid life cycle, self-fertilization, and ready genetic manipulation; the C. elegans nervous system has been mapped, and its genome fully sequenced (Sulston and Horvitz, 1977; Sulston, 1983; Sulston et al., 1983; White et al., 1986; Wood, 1988; C. elegans sequencing consortium, 1998). Earlier studies of toxicity in C. elegans have revealed high predictive value for mammalian systems (Williams and Dusenbery, 1988; National Research Council, 2000; Cole et al., 2004; Leung et al., 2008). In
addition to measurements investigating effects on the overall health of *C. elegans* (lethality, life span, brood size, behavior, etc.), some assessments included determination of gene induction using reporter strains and protection afforded by a particular gene through the use of knockout, over-expression strains, RNAsi, or mutagenesis experiments (Leung et al., 2008).

We used *C. elegans* to study MeHg toxicity and tested several different endpoints including lethality, Hg content, lifespan, brood size, body length, overall development, swimming behavior, and pharyngeal pumping rate. We also used green fluorescent protein (GFP) markers for specific neuronal populations to assess the development and appearance of the nervous system following methylmercuric chloride (MeHgCl) insult.

Our studies revealed that Hg approached levels ($\leq 3$ ng Hg/mg protein) in *C. elegans* tissues that are highly toxic to mammals (for example, in rat brain, 0.05 ppm resulted in significant structural alterations (Falluel-Morel et al., 2007)). Although exposure to MeHgCl induced dose-dependent developmental delay and lethality, surviving animals were surprisingly unaffected. The absence of observable defects in development or morphology in the *C. elegans* nervous system is particularly noteworthy given the sensitivity of mammalian neurons to MeHg. Our results indicate that *C. elegans* may exhibit unique mechanisms for detoxifying, trafficking, or metabolizing MeHgCl that render its nervous system resistant or inaccessible to MeHg.

### Methods

*C. elegans* maintenance. *C. elegans* were grown on plates containing nematode growth medium (NGM) seeded with Escherichia coli strain OP50 as previously described (Brenner, 1974). Unless otherwise noted, hermaphroditic wildtype N2 Bristol strain was used for all experiments. Transgenic lines expressing promoter GFP reporters used in this study were: NW1229 *F25B3.3:* GFP (a marker of Ras1 guanine nucleotide exchange factor, pan-neuronal GFP expression) (Altun-Gultekin et al., 2001), LX929 *unc-17:* GFP (a marker of a synaptic vesicle acetylcholine transporter, labels cholinergic neurons) (Chase et al., 2004), CZ1200 *unc-25:* GFP (a marker of glutamic acid decarboxylase, labels GABAergic neurons) (Huang et al., 2002), EG1285 *unc-47:* GFP (a marker of a transmembrane vesicular GABA transporter, labels GABAergic neurons) (McIntire et al., 1997), TL8 *cat-1:* GFP (a marker of a synaptic vesicle monoamine transporter, labels catecholaminergic neurons) (Colavita and Tessier-Lavigne, 2003), GR1333 * tph-1:* GFP (a marker of tryptophan hydroxylase, labels serotoninergic neurons) (Sze et al., 2000), DA1240 *eat-4:* GFP (a marker of vesicular glutamate transporter, labels glutamatergic neurons) (Asikainen et al., 2005) (all obtained from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center, Minneapolis, MN) BY250 *dat-1:* GFP (a marker of the dopamine transporter, labels dopaminergic neurons) (Nass et al., 2001), and *F49H12.4:* GFP (labels PVD neurons) (Watson et al., 2008).

**MeHgCl treatments.** Animals were treated with an alkaline bleach solution to obtain a synchronous population prior to treatment with MeHgCl (Stiernagle, 1999) and synchronized populations of selected larval stages (either L1 or L4) were treated. Treatment was conducted by combining larvae (2500 L1s or 300 L4s), concentrated OP50, the appropriate volume of MeHgCl dissolved in water, and M9 buffer to a volume of 500 μL in 1.7 mL siliconized tubes. Following the desired treatment duration (30 min to 15 h), animals were washed twice with deionized water by centrifugation and placed on OP50-containing NGM plates.

**Lethality.** Following MeHgCl treatment and washing, animals were transferred (approximately 300 per plate) to 60 mm NGM plates seeded with OP50 and allowed to grow for 24 h. Animals were then counted and scored as dead or alive. Viability was scored based on appearance and ability to move in response to poking with a platinum wire (Bischof et al., 2006; Roh et al., 2007).

**Determination of Hg content.** *C. elegans* larvae were treated with MeHgCl as described above. After 24 h of culture on OP50-containing NGM plates, both live and dead animals were collected and washed twice with deionized water. For L1 treatments, approximately 10,000 animals were pooled and assessed, for L4 treatments, approximately 900 animals were pooled and assessed. As expected, protein content was higher in samples treated with lower concentrations of MeHgCl. Average protein content per sample was approximately 110 mg, ranging from 16 mg to 254 mg. The pelleted pool of live and dead worms was sonicated and a small aliquot was used for protein measurement; the remainder of the sample was used for inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis of Hg content. Although it is possible that some demethylation occurred during the study, it is unlikely that an appreciable amount of inorganic Hg was formed. This would be an interesting extension of this research, however, due to small sample size, information regarding the potential demethylation of MeHg could not be collected in this study. Protein content was determined following manufacturer instructions for a bichinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay kit (Pierce, Rockford IL). Preparation of the sample for ICP-MS involved addition of nitric acid followed by heat digestion and dilution of the samples with water. The samples were digested in PP tubes (352059, BD) in a block heater after addition of 65% HNO3 (Merck, Suprapur). The samples were transferred to Teflon tubes and digested in an UltraClave (Milestone). After digestion the samples were diluted directly in the Teflon tubes with ultrapure water (PURLAB Ultra Analytic, Elga) to achieve a final acid concentration of 0.6 mol/L. High Resolution-Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (HR-ICP-MS) analysis was performed using a Thermo (Finnigan) model Element 2 instrument (Bremen, Germany). The RF power was 1400 W. The sample was introduced using an SC2 with SC-FAST option auto sampler [ESI, NE, USA] with a peristaltic pump (pump speed 0.25 mL/min). The instrument was calibrated using 0.6 mol/L HNO3 standards of multielement standards at appropriate concentrations. Internal standards were not used. To check for possible drift in the instrument, a standard solution with known elemental concentrations was analyzed for every 10 samples. In addition, blank samples (0.6 mol/L HNO3, Suprapur) were prepared for approximately every 10 samples. The samples were analyzed in random order, and the analyst was not aware of the identity of the samples. Hg was determined in the low resolution mode ($M / \Delta m = 300$).

**Lifespan and brood size analysis.** For lifespan assays, 40 live *C. elegans* hermaphrodites from each MeHgCl concentration group were picked to a fresh NGM plate 24 h following treatment. On each succeeding day, worms were counted and scored as live or dead. Live *C. elegans* were picked to fresh plates every day during egg-laying and every other day once they ceased laying eggs until no live *C. elegans* remained. The experiment was carried out in quadruplicate.

For brood size analyses, one live *C. elegans* was placed on each of four NGM plates per treatment concentration 24 h after MeHgCl exposure. Every 24 h, this animal was transferred to a new NGM plate until no new progeny were generated in a 24-hour period. The progeny on each of the fresh plates were counted and the experiment was carried out in quadruplicate. This approach allowed the measurement of the overall number of progeny generated and the interval between MeHgCl exposure at different concentrations and progeny generation.

**Measurement of size and developmental progress.** Following treatment and washing, *C. elegans* were imaged on a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope. Body length was measured using Nikon Element software to trace the body contour from the posterior bulb of the
Increased, L4 worms were treated for 15 h (LC$_{50} =$0.33 mM, $n = 6$), and for 30 min (LC$_{50} =$4.51 mM, $n = 6$) (A). Toxicity increased as exposure duration increased. L4 worms were treated for 15 h (LC$_{50} =$0.33 mM, $n = 9$), for 6 h (LC$_{50} =$0.57 mM, $n = 6$), and for 30 min (LC$_{50} =$4.51, $n = 6$) (B).

**Behavioral analysis: pharyngeal pumping and thrashing rates.** Pharyngeal pumping rate was assessed using a Leica MZ16FA microscope following MeHgCl treatment and washing. Pumps per minute were manually counted following treatment with MeHgCl. To test thrashing rates, C. elegans were placed in 10 μL of water on a Pyrex Spot Plate and their behavior was videotaped through a microscope for 3 min, as previously described (Matthies et al., 2006). Briefly, AVI movies were generated using a frame grabber Piccolo graphics card (Ingenieur Helfrich) and VidCap32 AVI capture application (Microsoft, Redmond, CA). The movies were analyzed using a script written in MatLab 7.0.1 (MathWorks, Natick, MA) to determine the position of the worm in each frame using motion detection and selection of a pixel designating the centroid of the worm (available upon request). Worm oscillation over time was displayed following calculation of movement in Hz. Four worms per treatment were tested in each behavioral analysis.

**Microscopic observation of neurons.** GFP reporter strains were treated with MeHg as described above (30-minute treatment of L1 and 15-hour treatment of L4 animals followed by washing and culture on OP50-containing NGM plates). C. elegans treated at the L1 or L4 stage and the progeny of those worms treated at the L4 stage were observed using a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope. Quantitative analysis of dat-1::GFP worms involved counting the number of head neurons (4 CEPs and 2 ADEs), projections from CEP neurons to the tip of the nose, and neurons in the C. elegans body (2 PDEs). Quantitative analysis of unc-25::GFP worms involved counting the number of head neurons (4 RMES), the number of neurons along the ventral nerve cord (13 VDs and 6 DDs), the number of commissures traveling across the body, and whether there were any breaks in the commissures or the nerve cord. Other GFP strains ($F_2$SB3.3::GFP, unc-17::GFP, unc-47::GFP, cat-1::GFP, tph-1::GFP, eat-4::GFP, F49H12.4::GFP) were examined to assess for obvious changes in overall structures of the labeled neurons.

**Statistics.** GraphPad Prism 4 was used to assess significance. For dose–response, Hg content, brood size, pharyngeal pumping rate, thrashing rate, body length, and neuronal quantification, ANOVA with Bonferroni’s Multiple Comparison Test was applied. For lifespan, log rank test was applied. When $p$-values were lower than 0.05, groups were considered significantly different, higher than 0.05 were not considered significantly different.

**Results**

**C. elegans larvae are sensitive to MeHgCl**

Dose–response curves were generated to test for dose-dependent toxicity of MeHg to C. elegans. L1 and L4 larval stages were selected to coincide with developmental processes in the worm (L1) and of the germ line of the worm (L4). Worms treated for 30 min with MeHg at the L1 stage (LC$_{50} =$1.08 mM, $n = 10$ (throughout document, each ‘n’ is one separate experiment, usually conducted at least in triplicate)] were significantly ($p < 0.001$) more sensitive to MeHg compared with worms treated at the L4 stage (LC$_{50} =$4.51 mM, $n = 6$) (Fig. 1A). Additionally, increasing the duration of MeHg exposure in L4 worms from 30 min to 6 h (LC$_{50} =$0.57 mM, $n = 6$) and 15 h (LC$_{50} =$0.33 mM, $n = 9$) statistically significantly ($p < 0.001$) increased the toxicity to C. elegans, indicating that longer exposures are more lethal to C. elegans (Fig. 1B).

Hg accumulates in a dose-dependent manner in animals treated with MeHgCl

Hg content was measured for selected exposures for different treatments, including L1 treatment for 30 min and L4 treatment for...
Exposures tested were selected to represent a range of doses that corresponded to a low concentration (LC0), at least one medium concentration (LC20–LC80), and at least one high concentration (LC100) for each of the conditions tested. Hg content was not tested when dose–response curves indicated death of all worms. The resulting values indicate that there is an increase in Hg content with increased MeHgCl exposure (Fig. 2). Comparing the animals treated for 30 min and for 15 h at L4, Hg content was significantly higher following a treatment at 0.1 and 0.4 mM MeHgCl (p < 0.05). Following a 15-hour treatment at L4 stage, control worms (0 mM MeHgCl) contain an average of 0.02 ng Hg/mg protein, whereas those treated at 0.1 and 0.4 mM MeHgCl contain an average of 0.45 and 3.34 ng Hg/mg protein, respectively (p < 0.001 vs. controls). As duration of exposure increases, Hg accumulation in C. elegans significantly increased in a time-dependent manner (Fig. 2). For instance, when L4s were treated for 30 min at 0.4 mM MeHgCl, the average Hg content was 0.29 ng Hg/mg protein; when the duration of exposure increased to 6 h and 15 h, average Hg content increased to 0.81 and 3.34 ng Hg/mg protein, respectively (p < 0.01). A comparison of the Hg content of L1s and L4s treated for 30 min revealed that L1s had significantly lower levels of Hg (p < 0.01). This finding indicates that L1s may be more sensitive to Hg than the dose–response curves (Fig. 1) revealed, as they are killed at lower levels of internal Hg than are L4 animals with comparable Hg content.

MeHgCl does not alter lifespan or brood size of C. elegans

For animals that survive exposure to MeHgCl, longevity did not seem to correlate with exposure dose (Supplemental Fig. 1). For example, average lifespan following a 30-minute treatment of L1 C. elegans (Supplemental Fig. 1A) or a 15-hour treatment of L4 C. elegans was 13–15 days (Supplemental Fig. 1B). Additionally, we tested the lifespan of the progeny of L4 C. elegans treated for 15 h, which had an average lifespan of 15–17 days (Supplemental Fig. 1C). None were significantly altered when using the log rank test to compare the control and MeHg-treatment groups (n = 5). In measuring brood size, the same three populations (L1 30-minute treatment, L4 15-hour treatment, and progeny of L4 treatment) were
tested (Supplemental Fig. 2). Animals that underwent 15-hour L4 treatment had an overall decrease in brood size (progeny generation of L1 30-minute treated worms at 0 mM MeHgCl was 279 ± 14, of L4 15-hour treated worms was 221 ± 11, and of progeny of L4 15-hour treated worms was 243 ± 13). However, the only significant MeHg-dependent alteration in brood size occurred when L1 30-minute treated worms were exposed to 1 mM MeHgCl (187 ± 21 progeny generated compared to 279 ± 14 progeny generated under control conditions, \( p < 0.001 \)). There were no other statistically significant alterations in brood size \((n = 6)\).

**MeHgCl treatment retards *C. elegans* larval development**

Following treatment with MeHgCl, *C. elegans* length was altered in a dose-dependent manner, with higher MeHgCl doses correlating with shorter length (Fig. 3). This observation prompted an investigation into a potential developmental delay of *C. elegans* following MeHgCl treatment. This study detected a corresponding dose-dependent developmental delay (Fig. 4, Supplemental Table 1, \( n = 5 \) experiments). Under normal conditions at 20 °C, *C. elegans* embryogenesis takes 14 h, and then the worm undergoes a series of molts at 29, 38, 47, and 59 h post fertilization (Hope, 1999). Retarded development occurred in both the worms treated at the L1 stage for 30 min and those treated at L4 for 15 h. After growth for 24 h, control-treated L1 larvae had all reached the L2 stage while many worms treated at higher concentrations of MeHgCl remained L1s (Fig. 4A). This trend continued 48 h (Fig. 4B) and 72 h (Fig. 4C) hours after treatment, when most worms had reached the adult stage. This trend also occurred in animals treated for 15 h at the L4 stage (Fig. 4D–E). Many control-treated animals reached the adult stage 24 h after treatment while those treated at higher MeHgCl had remained L4s (Fig. 4D). At 48 h after treatment, all control-treated worms had reached the adult stage, while only some of those treated with higher MeHgCl concentrations had reached the adult stage (Fig. 4E) \((n = 5 \) experiments).

**Pharyngeal pumping decreases following MeHgCl exposure, thrashing is unaffected**

Pharyngeal pumping rates were significantly decreased in a dose-dependent manner following 15-hour treatment of L4 *C. elegans* with MeHgCl (control-treated worms pumped at a rate of 230 ± 6 pumps per minute 24 h following treatment while worms treated at 0.1 and 0.4 mM MeHgCl pumped at 168 ± 9 and 69 ± 11 pumps per minute, respectively, \( p < 0.001 \), Fig. 5). Other researchers have demonstrated that at the L4 stage, *C. elegans* typically pump at a rate of 150–200 pumps per minute. The rate increases as they mature into adults and peaks 2 days later at 300–350 pumps per minute before declining as the worm ages (Huang et al., 2004). Since the pumping rates observed in our experiments were lower than expected even for L4 *C. elegans*, we do not attribute this decrease to the developmental delay. A similar trend was observed in animals treated at the L1 stage for 30 min, and significant differences were noted between control worms and those treated at 0.4 and 1 mM MeHgCl \(( p < 0.05 \) ). The decreased pumping rate induced by MeHgCl could contribute to the decreased rate of development in worms. No alterations were seen in the pumping rate of the progeny of *C. elegans* treated for 15 h at the L4 stage at any concentration tested \((0.1, 0.2, 0.3, \text{ and } 0.4 \text{ mM MeHgCl}, n = 7)\).

Thrashing data showed no trends in MeHgCl-dependent alterations on the swimming behavior of *C. elegans* (Supplemental Fig. 3). [There was one outlier among worms treated as L4s for 15 h at 0.1 mM MeHgCl 24 h following treatment. Mean thrashing rate was 0.27 \(( p < 0.05 \) ) while thrashing means for all other groups ranged from 0.38 to 0.65 and were not statistically significantly different from each other \((n = 6, \text{ data not shown})\)].

Alterations in neuronal morphology were not observed in worms that survived MeHgCl exposure

GFP markers were used to observe cholinergic, glutamatergic, serotonergic, dopaminergic, and GABAergic neuronal populations for potential alterations following MeHgCl insult. Animals were treated with 0, 0.1, 0.4, and 1 mM MeHgCl for a 30-minute treatment at the L1 stage, and a 15-hour treatment at the L4 stage. Live worms treated at the L1 stage were observed 24, 48, and 72 h following treatment and worms treated at the L4 stage were observed 24 and 48 h following treatment. Additionally, progeny of L4-treated animals were observed once they reached the L4 stage. No obvious phenotypes were observed in these neuronal populations under any of the treatment paradigms.

Due to ease of measurement because of a low cell number and readily available GFP markers, dopaminergic and GABAergic neuronal populations were quantitatively investigated. Analysis of the dopaminergic system revealed no alteration in cell number \([6 \text{ head neurons (Supplemental Fig. 4A) and } 2 \text{ PDEs (Supplemental Fig. 4B)}\] or ability of projections to travel from the nerve ring to the tip of the nose (Supplemental Fig. 4C) in worms surviving MeHgCl insult (Figs. 6A, B). GABAergic analysis also revealed no alteration in cell number in the head (Supplemental Fig. 4D) or nerve cord (Supplemental Fig. 4E), ability of projections to pass across the body (Supplemental Fig. 4F), or
number of breaks in the commissures (Supplemental Fig. 4G) of C. elegans surviving MeHgCl treatment (Figs. 4C, D).

Discussion

Here we describe our first experiments to probe the neurotoxicity of MeHgCl in the model organism, C. elegans. No neuronal alterations were observed upon MeHgCl exposure, indicating that the C. elegans nervous system may possess unique mechanisms for dealing with the insult of this toxicant. However, the possibility does exist that MeHg is metabolized, excreted, or sequestered from neurons, resulting in minimal exposure to these cells. Other results (lethality, pharyngeal pumping, etc.) demonstrate MeHgCl toxicity to C. elegans and begin to reveal some of the alterations that occur following exposure to this metal. Lethality was observed at high MeHgCl doses (Fig. 1). As has been shown in other systems, MeHgCl was more toxic to younger as compared to older individuals (Clarkson and Magos, 2006). However, this result cannot be explained by increased accumulation of MeHgCl in younger C. elegans as the young (L1) worms accumulated less Hg than their older (L4) counterparts (Fig. 2). Instead, the enhanced sensitivity of L1 stage larvae may be due to inhibition of essential developmental pathways including, for example, mechanisms for detoxifying MeHgCl that could be in place in the more mature L4 larvae. Mammalian systems have displayed an inability to demethylate MeHg until after birth, indicating that in mammals, the processes involved in demethylation as a form of detoxification do not develop until later in life (Dock et al., 1994). Furthermore, MeHgCl displayed increased toxicity as duration of exposure increased (Fig. 1), indicating that increased accumulation of Hg within C. elegans (Fig. 2) may be responsible for this increased toxicity instead of an increased duration of exposure to the toxicant.

Although our studies required exposure of C. elegans to relatively high external doses of MeHgCl, Hg accumulation within C. elegans is not excessively high when compared to levels observed in the brains of mammals exposed to MeHgCl. In our studies, the levels observed in worms ranged from 0–3.3 ng Hg/mg protein. A number of studies have investigated Hg levels in mammalian brain following MeHgCl treatment. In human autopsy studies, brain levels of Hg between 1913 and 1970 decreased, from an average level of 34 parts per million (ppm) to an average level of 1.3 ppm (1 ppm equals 1 ng/mg) (Kevorkian et al., 1972). Examples of determination of Hg content following MeHgCl exposure include rats treated with MeHgCl, registering Hg levels of 0–8 ppm, depending on dosage and duration (Newland et al., 2006) and mice treated with MeHgCl having 0–3 ppm when pregnant mice were exposed and their pups tested at various postnatal days (Stringari et al., 2008). A single dose of 5 μg/g body weight MeHgCl in rat pups, resulting in brain Hg levels of approximately 0.05 ppm, produced extensive alterations in the brain, including reduced hippocampal size and cell number as well as deficits in learning (Falluel-Morel et al., 2007). Alterations in the C. elegans nervous system would have been expected due to the body of literature indicating that alterations are seen in the nervous system of other organisms at the concentrations observed in C. elegans. In our experiments, C. elegans did reach concentrations of Hg as high as those found in mammalian systems where deleterious alterations have been observed.

Neither C. elegans lifespan (Supplemental Fig. 1) nor brood size (Supplemental Fig. 2) was altered upon MeHgCl exposure possibly indicating that essential reproductive processes are resistant to the effects of MeHgCl and that the aging process in C. elegans is not accelerated by exposure to MeHgCl. Hg concentrations were not tested more than 24 h following treatment, but Hg may be excreted at a high rate, decreasing the effect of MeHg after a number of days. Stress factors or detoxification may also be induced following toxicant exposure, allowing C. elegans to cope with MeHgCl following the initial insult much more efficiently compared to mammalian systems. Additionally, the C. elegans reproductive system may be less sensitive to MeHgCl toxicity. However, a decrease in C. elegans size (Fig. 3) and a developmental delay following MeHgCl exposure was noted (Fig. 4, Supplemental Table 1). Taken together, these results indicate that C. elegans may have a mechanism for stunting development when stressed with MeHgCl and returning to normal development once more favorable conditions are encountered. Developmental delay in C. elegans is not unique to MeHgCl exposure, as researchers investigating other chemicals have observed similar outcomes. Some toxicants have had more dramatic effects, for example, exposure to antipsychotic compounds led to larval arrest and dauer formation (Donohoe et al., 2006) whereas exposure to ethanol led to a decrease in brood size and lifespan in addition to a developmental delay (Bruinsma et al., 2008). Although no alteration in thrashing behavior was noted (Supplemental Fig. 3), the decreased pharyngeal pumping rate following MeHgCl exposure (Fig. 5) indicates that C. elegans may consume less bacteria following exposure. It is possible that decreased feeding could be an adaptive response to limit MeHgCl intake as well as delay
development until a less toxic environment is attained. Although gross morphological alterations in neurons were not noted, MeHgCl may have specific effects on the neurons of the pharyngeal nervous system, leading to the alterations in pharyngeal pumping rate. Further investigation of the pharyngeal nervous system morphology or functioning should reveal insights into the mechanism of the decreased pumping rate.

Extensive research investigating alterations in mammalian brain and mammalian cell lines following exposure to MeHgCl has revealed mitotic arrest in the cerebellum (Rodier et al., 1984), necrosis and apoptosis (Castoldi et al., 2001), disruption of microtubules (Castoldi et al., 2001), alterations in calcium levels and signaling (Castoldi et al., 2001), oxidative stress (Castoldi et al., 2001), and alterations in neurotransmitter systems (Sobotta et al., 1974; Castoldi et al., 2001), specifically in the glutamatergic (Brookes, 1992; Aschner et al., 2000; Baraldi et al., 2002), muscarinic cholinergic (Coccini et al., 2000), and dopaminergic (Rossi et al., 1997; Ong and Farooqui, 2005) systems. Although a major target of MeHg toxicity in mammals is the nervous system (Clarkson and Magos, 2006), surprisingly, alterations in the nervous system of C. elegans were not observed. There are a number of possible explanations for this observation. The experiments described here assess the overall function of selected behavioral circuits (thrashing and pharyngeal pumping) but do not assay the function of specific individual neurons which therefore could be selectively inactivated by MeHgCl treatment. Although we did not observe significant changes in neuron morphology, the neuron-specific GFP markers used in our study would not have revealed functional defects in synaptic activity. MeHg may not reach sufficiently high concentrations in C. elegans to have a deleterious effect on the nervous system before the animal is affected in some other way i.e., another tissue is damaged, leading to lethality. Another possible explanation is that C. elegans neurons to utilize mechanisms to overcome the toxicity of MeHg that are not similarly activated in mammalian neurons. The elucidation of such mechanisms may reveal pathways that could be exploited in cases of MeHg poisoning in humans. Interestingly, quantification of alterations in the nervous system (Fig. 6, Supplemental Fig. 4) did not reveal any alterations in appearance of neurons although, as indicated by dose–response curves, some animals were likely sick or dying. Dead animals could not be assessed since autofluorescence within the entire animal makes the GFP reporter indistinguishable from the rest of the animal. However, C. elegans were observed at time points during exposure and at various time points after exposure, no trends existed indicating that neurons were affected before death of the animal. This result shows that these worms are most likely not dying due to perturbations within the nervous system but via alternative mechanisms that do not affect the nervous system. We propose that C. elegans may exhibit a potent adaptive response, such as the involvement of glutathione and metallothioneins, allowing the neurons to survive MeHgCl insult.

Taken together, our experiments show that while MeHgCl is toxic to C. elegans, the nervous system of this model organism does not appear to be as sensitive to MeHg as mammalian neurons. Therefore, additional studies in C. elegans may reveal unique mechanisms of MeHg handling, allowing us to glean important information by making use of many advantages that C. elegans provides as a model organism with resistance to MeHg neuronal toxicity.
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